

Solutions to CS 70 Challenge Problems: Graphs, Trees, and Hypercubes

Other worksheets and solutions at <https://alextseng.net/teaching/cs70/>
Alex Tseng

1 Trees

- (a) Prove or give a counterexample: a tree must have some vertex of degree 1.

This is true. First we realize that a tree cannot have any vertices of degree 0 (isolated vertices) because a tree must be connected.

Then we are equivalently showing that any tree must have some vertex with degree less than 2 (since the degree cannot be 0, it must be 1). We utilize a proof by contradiction.

Assume for contradiction that all vertices in some tree with n vertices have degree at least 2. Whenever an edge touches a vertex, we call that an “incidence”. If some vertex v has degree $d(v)$, then it contributes $d(v)$ incidences to the tree. The sum of all incidences $\sum_{v \in T} d(v) \geq 2n$, since every degree is at least 2.

Additionally, we know that every edge contributes exactly 2 incidences. This would imply that there are at least n edges (there are at least $2n$ incidences). Contradiction—a tree with n vertices has exactly $n - 1$ edges. Therefore, a tree must have some vertex with degree less than 2, namely 1.

2 Hypercubes

- (a) We define the following function:

$$s(0) = 1$$

$$s(n) = 2^{n-1}(s(n-1))^2$$

Prove that an n -dimensional hypercube has at least $s(n)$ spanning trees.

Note that a spanning tree of graph G is a subgraph that is a tree, and that connects all vertices of G .

Given the recursive nature of the function s , and the recursive nature of hypercubes, it should be obvious that induction is the way to go.

Base Case: For a 0-dimensional hypercube (a single vertex), there is exactly 1 spanning tree—the node itself.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional hypercube, there are at least $s(n - 1)$ spanning trees.

Inductive Step: We start with a hypercube H of n dimensions. We break it down arbitrarily into two hypercubes H_1 and H_2 , each of $n - 1$ dimensions. By the Inductive Hypothesis, each one has at least $s(n - 1)$ spanning trees. Note that if we have a spanning tree in H_2 and a spanning tree in H_1 , they collectively connect all the vertices in each hypercube. Then to construct a spanning tree for H , we just need to connect any two vertices in the spanning trees of H_1 and H_2 . Any spanning tree of H is distinctly defined by which spanning tree we pick from H_1 , which spanning tree we pick from H_2 , and what edge we use to connect them. First we pick a pair of spanning trees. There are $(s(n - 1))^2$ such pairs. For each pair, we can connect them with any of the edges in H that goes between H_1 and H_2 . There are 2^{n-1} such edges. Then we have just constructed at least $2^{n-1}(s(n - 1))^2$ spanning trees in H that are all different.

Thus, by induction, an n -dimensional hypercube has at least $s(n)$ spanning trees.

- (b) Is the bound in the question above a tight bound? That is, is it possible that an n -dimensional hypercube has more than $s(n)$ spanning trees?

No, $s(n)$ is not a tight bound. The reason is that there are more ways to construct a spanning tree than to split the graph in half and connect spanning trees from each half. For example, we can take a path that starts in H_1 , goes to H_2 , and then ends in H_1 , covering all vertices. A more concrete example would be a 2-dimensional hypercube (a square). There are 4 possible spanning trees, but $s(2) = 2$.

3 General Graphs

- (a) Let G be an undirected graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices. Every vertex in G has an even degree, except for the vertices u and v , which have odd degrees. Prove or give a counterexample: there must be a path between u and v .

This is true. We assume for contradiction that there is no path between u and v . Then u and v must be in separate components with no path in between. Then each component has a sum of degrees that is odd, since each component has exactly one vertex with odd degree. Contradiction—the sum of the degrees of any connected component of an undirected graph is 2 times the number of edges in that component, so it must be even.

- (b) For some graph G , the graph \overline{G} (G -complement) has all of the same vertices, but has the opposite edges. That is, if an edge (u, v) exists in G , then it does not exist in \overline{G} , and if the edge does not exist in G , then it does exist in \overline{G} . Prove that for any graph G , either G is connected or \overline{G} is connected. You may assume that the graph is undirected.

If G is connected, then we are done. So let us assume that G is not connected. Then we wish to show that \overline{G} is connected. \overline{G} is connected if every pair of vertices in \overline{G} have some path between them. Without loss of generality, let us consider any two distinct vertices u, v in \overline{G} .

Now we utilize a proof by cases to show that u and v must have a path in \overline{G} .

If u and v were in different connected components in G (equivalently, there was no path from u to v in G), then there was no edge (u, v) in G . Then in \overline{G} , there must be the edge (u, v) , so there is a path between u and v .

In the case that u and v were connected in G , they were in the same component. But since G is disconnected, there must be some other non-empty component that is not connected to u or v . Let any vertex in that component be w . Since w is not connected to u or v in G , the edges (u, w) and (v, w) must exist in \overline{G} . Then u and v are connected in \overline{G} through the vertex w .

Therefore, if G is disconnected, any pair of vertices are connected in \overline{G} , and therefore \overline{G} is connected.

- (c) *Challenge* Prove that a *complete* undirected graph on n vertices (assume n is even) can be partitioned into $\frac{n}{2}$ edge-disjoint spanning trees. That is, we can find $\frac{n}{2}$ different spanning trees where no edge is ever used twice.

We utilize a proof by induction on the n , the number of nodes in the graph.

Base Case: For a 2-node complete graph, there is only one spanning tree.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for an n -node complete graph, there are $\frac{n}{2}$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Inductive Step: Consider a complete graph of $n + 2$ nodes. We arbitrarily remove any two nodes and their incident edges. Let these nodes be a and b . The remaining graph is still complete and has n nodes. Let these nodes in the remaining graph be v_1, \dots, v_n . By the Inductive Hypothesis, there are $\frac{n}{2}$ edge-disjoint spanning trees in this subgraph. Let these trees be $T_1, \dots, T_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Now we add back nodes a and b (and their edges). We need to extend each tree to also include a and b for them to be spanning. Note that since the graph is complete, both a and b have edges to each v_i , and there is also the edge (a, b) .

To each tree T_i , we add the edges (a, v_i) and $(b, v_{\frac{n}{2}+i})$. For example, to extend T_1 , we add the edges (a, v_1) and $(b, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1})$, and to extend $T_{\frac{n}{2}}$, we add the edges $(a, v_{\frac{n}{2}})$ and (b, v_n) . Thus, we extend each T_i to create $\frac{n}{2}$ spanning trees of $n + 2$ vertices. Since each T_i was edge-disjoint from the others, and all the edges we added were different for each T_i , the resulting spanning trees are also edge-disjoint.

We construct one more spanning tree. We use the edges $(a, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1})$ through (a, v_n) , and the edges (b, v_1) through $(b, v_{\frac{n}{2}})$, as well as the edge (a, b) . These edges have not been used yet, and they connect all the vertices to form one last spanning tree. Thus, we now have $\frac{n}{2} + 1 = \frac{n+2}{2}$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Therefore by induction, a complete graph with n nodes (n is even) will have $\frac{n}{2}$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

- (d) *Challenge* Given a tournament H of $n \geq 3$ vertices, show that there exists some node that is reachable from every other node on a path that is at most length 2. That is, we can identify some vertex x in H where if we start on any other vertex in H , we can travel to x by traversing at most 2 edges.

Recall that a tournament is a directed graph where every pair of nodes u and v are connected by either an edge (u, v) or (v, u) , but not both.

We utilize a proof by induction on n , the number of nodes. In this proof, we will use the term “nexus” to describe the vertex x , which can be reached from every other node in no more than 2 edges.

Base Case: Consider a tournament of 3 vertices. Since there are only 3 nodes, we can identify a single node to be the nexus, which *must* be at most 2 edges away from the other nodes. If this is hard to visualize, try drawing out all the possible 3-node tournaments (there are only 2).

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for a tournament of n vertices, there exists a nexus.

Inductive Step: Consider a tournament of $n + 1$ vertices. We choose any vertex to remove. Let this vertex be v . The resulting graph is still a tournament, but with n nodes. By the Inductive Hypothesis, we can find a nexus. Let this nexus be x . In this smaller tournament, we can split the vertices into three groups: x itself is in one group, the “1-nodes” are the nodes that have a direct edge to x , and the “2-nodes” consists of all the other nodes that do not have a direct edge to x , but can reach x through a node in the “1-nodes” group.

We add back v to get our $n + 1$ -node tournament. In the first case, if v has an edge to x , or an edge to any node in the “1-node” group, then v has a path of at most 2 edges to x , maintaining x as the nexus. In the second case, x has an edge to v , and every node in the “1-nodes” group has an edge to v . Then all of these nodes can reach v in exactly 1 edge. All of the nodes in the “2-nodes” group have an edge to some node in the “1-nodes” group, and so they have paths to reach v in exactly 2 edges. Thus, v is a nexus.

Thus, there is always a nexus, either x or v .

Therefore by induction, any tournament of at least 3 nodes has a nexus node.